# A STUDY OF SOME BODY MEASUREMENTS IN A CROSS BETWEEN MERINO AND BARKI SHEEP LIVING UNDER DESERT CONDITIONS II-WEANING AND YEARLING MEASUREMENTS<sup>1</sup>

Y. S. GHANEM<sup>2</sup>, M. H. FAHMY<sup>3</sup> and H. F. EL-ISSAWY<sup>4</sup>

Dept. of Animal Research. Desert Institute

Under the semi-arid conditions prevailing in the northern strip of the Egyptian Western Desert, the early selection of lambs at weaning age, before the onset of the dry season, should help in solving the over-grazing problem in the region, and in saving the relatively expensive supplemental feeds. Selection at this age will be effective when considering those traits in which weaning performance is highly correlated with performance at yeariling or later ages. Body weight and size may be considered as important criteria for selection at weaning age. The lamb performance at yearling or later ages may be predicted from these objective measurements obtained at weaning.

Numerous investigations have been carried out on weaning and yearling body weight and dimensions of sheep, as influenced by various factors including breed, sex, type of birth, age of dam, etc. However, studies on the correlation between weaning and yearling measurements are lacking.

Accordingly, the data reported in the first part of this series (Fahmy et al, 1964) were used to study body weight and dimensions at weaning and yearling ages and the correlations among them in Merino and Barki sheep, and their crossbred and backcross (MBxB) lambs.

<sup>(1)</sup> Extracted from an M.Sc. thesis submitted by the second author to the Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, Cairo.

<sup>(2)</sup> Associate Research Professor, Desert Institute.

<sup>(3)</sup> Research Assistant, Desert Institute.

<sup>4)</sup> Associate Professor, Dept. of Animal Production, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University.

#### MATERIAL AND METHODS

Body weights and dimensions at weaning (16 weeks) and yearling ages (52 weeks) were obtained by interpolation among the data previously described by Fahmy et al (1964) on 106 single lambs of four breed groups (Merino, Barki, Merino Barki and Merino Barki & Barki), raised at Ras El-Hekma Desert Research Station.

The analysis of variance in each of the various body measurements as affected by breed and sex was carried out according to Snedecor (1956), using the method of weighted means. Simple regressions and correlations among body measurements at weaning and yearling ages were also estimated, using the methods described by the same author.

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Weaning Measurements:

1. Body Weight.—At 16 weeks of age, there were significant differences in body weight among breed groups (Table 1). Breed differences have been similarly found to cause a significant effect on weaning weight by other authors including Hazeland Terrill (1946), Asker et al (1952), Ragab et al (1953), de Baca et al (1956), Harrington et al (1958) and Neville et al (1959).

As shown in Table 2, the backcross MBB and the cross-bred MB lambs were the heaviest at weaning (23. 61 and 22. 87 kg respectively). Hybrid vigour was evidently exhibited by both groups. The average weaning weight of Barki lambs was 21. 80 kg, which compares favourably with the results obtained on Rahmani and Ossimi lambs of similar age under farm conditions by Badreldin (1951), Asker et al (1952), Ragab et al (1953) and Ghoneim et al (1957). Merino lambs, on the other hand, were the lightest group, their average weaning weight being 19.94 kg. Their poor performance is a result of their slow growth during the pre-weaning peroid (Fahmy et al 1964), and suggests their poor adaptability to desert conditions.

Sex difference constituted a highly significant source of variation in weaning weight of lambs, as shown in Table 1. Ram-lambs were heavier than ewe-lambs at weaning by 0.93,

TABLE 1,-Analysis of Variance in Body Measurements at Weaning (16 weeks)

					ratean odnares	co ma lo			
Source of Variation	Degrees of Freedom	Body Weight	Height at Withers	Height at Hook	Length	Depth at Chest	Width at Shoulder	Width at Hook	Circumf- erence
Sex	1	120.91**	81.91**	102.06** 28.41**	28.41**	10.93**	10.29**	4.54**	70.05*
Breed-group .	3	51.44**	36.93**	26.30*	33.06**	14.58+*	3.79*	1.95*	66,48**
Sex X Breed .	3	13.38	20.83*	10.49	8.77	1.73	1.15	0.73	15.63
Individual	86	8.28	6.50	6.93	3.61	1.22	0.99	0.50	10.37

\* Significant at 5 % level. \*\* ,, 1 % ,,

3.45, 1.58 and 4.03 kg in Barki, Merino, MB and MBB groups respectively. Sex difference at weaning was about 4.86 kg in Columbia and Targhee lambs (Hazel and Terrill, 1946) about 5.44 kg in Rahmani lambs (Ragab *et al*, 1953) and up to 6.7 kg in Polish long-wool sheep (Domanski, *et al*, 1959).

2. Body Dimensions.—The evidence for the presence of heterosis is shown by the fact that the two crosses, MB and MBB groups, surpassed both pure breeds in all body dimensions (Table 2). Barki lambs, however, did not differ from either crossbred groups significantly except in height at withers and hook and body length. On the other hand, Merino lambs were the lowest in all body dimensions, except length, in which they exceeded the Barki. These differences among the four breed groups are highly significant (Table 1) and reflect the parallel differences in their growth rates during the pre-weaning period, which were studied by Fahmy et al, (1964).

Barki lambs at weaning were of lower height at withers and body length, but greater heart girth than Ossimi and Rahmani lambs of similar age, as reported by Ragab et al (1953).

Male lambs had significantly greater body dimensions than females (Table 1). Ragab et al (1953) observed significant sex differences in body dimensions of Rahmani, but not of Ossimi lambs at weaning. The interaction between breed and sex was not significant in any measurement except height at withers. This was due to the fact that MB females exceeded males in this character. This finding may be due to sampling variation.

## Yearling Measurements:

1. Body Weight.— At 52 weeks of age, there were still existing highly significant differences among breed groups in body weight (Table 3). Yearling Merinos were 7.5 to 9.4 kg lower than other groups in body weight (Table 2) which further shows the low adaptability of that breed to desert conditions. No significant difference existed among the other three groups. The average body weights of MBB, MB and Barki yearlings (38.40, 36.87 and 36.54 kg respectively) compare favourably with those reported by Badreldin (1951) in Ossimi and Rahmani sheep.

TABLE 2.—Average Body Measurements at Weaning and Yearling Ages and Their Ranges of Significance in the Four Breed Groups (Weighted mean difference test)

Measurement	Weaning	Yearling
Body Weight, kg.	23.61 22.87 21.80 19.94 MBB MB B M	38.40 36.87 36.54 29.04 MBB MB B M
Height at Withers, cm.	53.44 52.23 50.84 50.75 MBB MB B M	61.15 60.31 58.51 56.10 MBB MB B M
Height of Hook, cm.	55.42 54.31 53.19 53.15 MBB MB B M	64.03 63.02 61.70 58.19 MBB MB B M
Length, cm.	46.26 46.00 45.30 43.96 MBB MB M B	55.22 54.55 53.26 52.04 MBB MB B M
Depth at Chest, cm.	23.82 23.33 23.31 21.80 MBB MB B M	28.99 27.70 27.41 25.09 MBB B MB M
Width at Shoulder, cm.	15.65 15.30 15.30 14.70 MB MBB B M	19.14 18.82 18.73 17.48 MBB MB B M
Width at Hook, cm.	12.44 12.38 12.10 11.70 MBB MB B M	16.04 15.44 15.26 14.03 <u>MBB</u> <u>MB</u> <u>B</u> <u>M</u>
Circumference, cm.	66.01 65.89 64.61 62.25 MBB B M	87.59 86.92 83.43 76.81 <u>MBB</u> <u>MB</u> <u>B</u> <u>M</u>

N,B. Means connected by the same line are not significantly different 5% level from each other.

Differences between the two sexes in yearling weight were highly significant (Table 3).

3.45, 1.58 and 4.03 kg in Barki, Merino, MB and MBB groups respectively. Sex difference at weaning was about 4.86 kg in Columbia and Targhee lambs (Hazel and Terrill, 1946) about 5.44 kg in Rahmani lambs (Ragab et al, 1953) and up to 6.7 kg in Polish long-wool sheep (Domanski, et al, 1959).

2. Body Dimensions.—The evidence for the presence of heterosis is shown by the fact that the two crosses, MB and MBB groups, surpassed both pure breeds in all body dimensions (Table 2). Barki lambs, however, did not differ from either crossbred groups significantly except in height at withers and hook and body length. On the other hand, Merino lambs were the lowest in all body dimensions, except length, in which they exceeded the Barki. These differences among the four breed groups are highly significant (Table 1) and reflect the parallel differences in their growth rates during the pre-weaning period, which were studied by Fahmy et al, (1964).

Barki lambs at weaning were of lower height at withers and body length, but greater heart girth than Ossimi and Rahmani lambs of similar age, as reported by Ragab et al (1953).

Male lambs had significantly greater body dimensions than females (Table 1). Ragab et al (1953) observed significant sex differences in body dimensions of Rahmani, but not of Ossimi lambs at weaning. The interaction between breed and sex was not significant in any measurement except height at withers. This was due to the fact that MB females exceeded males in this character. This finding may be due to sampling variation.

### Yearling Measurements:

1. Body Weight. - At 52 weeks of age, there were still existing highly significant differences among breed groups in body weight (Table 3). Yearling Merinos were 7.5 to 9.4 kg lower than other groups in body weight (Table 2) which further shows the low adaptability of that breed to desert conditions. No significant difference existed among the other three groups. The average body weights of MBB, MB and Barki yearlings (38.40, 36.87 and 36.54 kg respectively) compare favourably with those reported by Badreldin (1951) in Ossimi and Rahmani sheep.

TABLE 2.—Average Body Measurements at Weaning and Yearling Ages and Their Ranges of Significance in the Four Breed Groups (Weighted mean difference test)

Measurement		Wea	ning			Ye	arling	
Body Weight, kg.	23.61	22.87	21.80	19.94	38.40	36.87	36.54	29.04
	MBB	MB	B	M	MBB	MB	B	<u>M</u>
Height at Withers, cm.	53.44	52.23	50.84	50.75	61.15	60.31	58.51	56.10
	MBB	MB	B	M	MBB	MB	<u>B</u>	<u>M</u>
Height of Hook, cm.	55.42	54.31	53.19	53.15	64.03	63.02	61.70	58.19
	MBB	MB	B	M	MBB	MB	B	<u>M</u>
Length, cm.	46.26	46.00	45.30	43.96	55.22	54.55	53.26	52.04
	MBB	MB	<u>M</u>	B	MBB	MB	B	M
Depth at Chest, cm.	23.82	23.33	23.31	21.80	28.99	27.70	27.41	25.09
	MBB	MB	B	<u>M</u>	MBB	B	MB	M
Width at Shoulder,	15.65	15.30	15.30	14.70	19.14	18.82	18.73	17.48
cm.	MB	MBB	B	M	MBB	MB	B	<u>M</u>
Width at Hook, cm.	12.44	12.38	12.10	11.70	16.04	15.44	15.26	14.03
	MBB	MB	B	M	MBB	MB	B	<u>M</u>
Circumference, cm.	66.01	65.89 MBB		62.25 M	87.59 MBB	86.92 MB	83.43 B	76.81 M

N,B. Means connected by the same line are not significantly different 5% level from each other.

Differences between the two sexes in yearling weight were highly significant (Table 3).

3.—Analysis of Variance in Body Measurements at Yearling (52 weeks) TABLE

Courses	1				Mean	Mean squares			
Variation	Degrees of Freedom	Body	Height at Withers	Height at Hook	Length	Depth at	Width at Shoulder	Width at Hook	Circumfe- rence
Sex	1	**6.069	106.40**	118.50** 62.17**	62.17**	38 71**	15 10**	3 7*	05 99
Breed-group	r	30A 5##	0.5 2000	1			77.71	1.0	00.00
· ·	,	204.7	95.30**	110.87** 36.41**	36.41**	40.87**	89**	11.2**	429.50**
Sex X Breed .	3	11.4	14.63	11.75	6.19	4.95	0.55	1.0	7.80
Individual	68	23.2	6.10		5.82	1.76	1.13	0.7	18.57

Significant at 5% level.

2. Body Dimensions. - Highly significant differences existed among breed groups in all dimensions studied (Table 3). The backecross MBB group exceeded the other three groups in all dimensions, and was followed by the crossbred MB, the Barki and finally the Merino groups, except in the case of depth at cheet, in which Barkis slightly exceeded the MB lambs (Table 2).

In all dimensions except circumference, males significantly exceeded females (Table 3).

Correlation and Regression Estimates.

The correlation coefficients between body weight and each of four body dimensions: height at withers, length, depth at chest and circumference and between height at withers and length were calculated at weaning and yearling ages (Table 4), and were all found to be positive and highly significant. At weaning, body weight was more highly correlated with circumference than with the other three measurements, while at yearling age, body weight was more highly correlated with body length. The only exceptions were the Merino and the MBB groups at weaning, and the MBB group at yearling ages. Ragab et al (1953) similarly observed that heart-girth had the highest correlation with body weight.

Also, the regression coefficients of body weight on each of the four selected dimensions mentioned above were calculated at weaning and yearling ages and are presented in Table 4. They represent the change in body weight per centimeter change in each dimension.

The correlations between weaning and yearling measurements and the regressions of yearling on weaning measurements are shown in Table 5. The correlations are all positive and highly significant. These results show that yearling performance can be predicted at weaning, and selection for body measurements can be safely performed at about four months of age.

### SUMMARY

Body weight and seven body dimensions of 106 single lambs of Barki, Merino, Merino Barki and Merino Barki x Barki breed groups, raised at Ras El-Hekma Desert Research station, were taken at weaning and yearling ages.

Y. S. GHANEM, M.H. FAHMY AND H. F. EL-ISSAWY

TABLE 4.—Regressions and Correlations of Some Body Measurements at Weaning and Yearling Ages

Measure	ements		Barki			Merino			MXB			мвх	В
Y	x	b	Sb	r	b	Sb	r	b	Sb	r¢.	b	Sb	r
Weaning Weight . ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Meaning height length depth circumf height	0.77 = 1.25 = 2.22 = 0.78 = 0.43 =	= 0.20 = 0.30	0.73 0.74 0.80 0.83 0.68	1.02 2.40 0.86	± 0.26 ± 0.13 ± 0.35 ± 0.12 ± 0.24	0.72 0.89 0.86 0.87 0.67	0.65 ± 1.11 ± 1.58 ± 0.75 ± 0.49 ±	0.19 0.40 0.08	0.57 0.73 0.58 0.86 0.66	1.27 2.22 0.84	± 0.11 ± 0.28 ± 0.24 ± 0.10 ± 0.10	0.9 0.7 0.9 0.8 0.7
Yearling weight  in the second	Yearling height length circumf. height	2.09 = 3.18 = 1.05 =	± 0.24 ± 0.20 ± 0.41 ± 0.14 ± 0.10	0.67 0.88 0.82 0.80 0.67		± 0.21 ± 0.38 ± 0.23	0.68 0.86 0.85 0.69 0.65	1.37 ± 1.43 ± 1.51 ± 0.70 ± 0.73 ±	= 0.30 = 0.51 = 0.17	0.52 0.67 0.49 0.62 0.57	2.06 3.20 0.97	± 0.22 ± 0.27 ± 0.37 ± 0.26 ± 0.11	0.8 0.8 0.9 0.6 0.8

N.B.-All "b" and "r" values are significant at 1% level.

TABLE 5.—Regressions and Correlations between Weaning and Yearling Measurements

Measu	rements		Barki			Merino		N	fХВ			мвхв	
Y Yearling	X Weaning	ь	s <sub>b</sub>	r	ь	s <sub>b</sub>	r	b	Sb	r	ь	SI-	r
Weight . height length depth circumf	Weight height dength circumf.	0.81 0.90 0.83	$     \begin{array}{r}       \pm 0.23 \\       \pm 0.12 \\       \pm 0.17 \\       \pm 0.18 \\       \pm 0.16     \end{array} $	0.72 0.76 0.70 0.65 0.73	1.21 ± 0.82 ± 0.97 ± 1.56 ± 0.85 ±	0.15 0.24 0.34	0.59* 0.83 0.83 0.77 0.58	1.27 ± 0.48 ± 0.67 ± 0.74 ± 0.80 ±	0.11 0.19 0.25	0.74° 0.42* 0.56 0.48 0.61	0.90 0.88 0.97	± 0.26 ± 0.10 ± 0.27 ± 0.17 ± 0.20	0.79 0.91 0.62 0.82 0.68
Yearling weight	length depth	2.07 = 2.82 =	± 0.28 ± 0.42 ± 0.75 ± 0.25	0.58 0.67 0.57 0.59	1.20° ± 1.53 ± 3.86 ± 1.13 ±	0.40	0.48° 0.72 0.70 0.63	0.88*± 1.48 ± 2.74 ± 0.94 ±	0.40	0 44 0 57 0.56 0.64	2.12 = 2.85 =	± 0.25 ± 0.62 ± 0.75 ± 0.31	0.84 0.64 0.68 0.63

All b and r values are significant at 1% level except those with (°), non significant and (\*), segnificant at 5% level.

BODY MEASUREMENTS OF MERINO AND BARKI SHEEP, II

Statistical analysis showed significant differences among breed groups and between sexes at both ages. Hybrid vigour was shown by the two crossbred groups, while the Merino group was the poorest in all measurements.

High, positive and highly significant correlations were obtained between different measurements at the same age and between the two ages studied. It was concluded that selection for body measurement can be safely performed at weaning time. Regression coefficients among some body measurements were also calculated.

#### REFERENCES

- ASKER, A.A., RAGAB, M.T. and KADI, M.R. (1952). The influence of some environmental factors affecting weight of Ossimi and Rahmani sheep. Feculty of Agriculture, Cairo University, Bulletin 19, 25 pp.
- BADRELDIN, A.L. (1951).—Growth and carcass percentage in Ossimi and Rahmani sheep. Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University, Bulletin 3, 16 pp.
- DeBACA, R.C., BOGART, R., CABRIN, L.D. and NELSON, O.M. (1956). Factors affecting weaning weights of crossbred spring lambs. J. Anim. Sci., 15: 667-78.
- DOMANSKI, A., DOMZAL, H. and MACIEJEWSKA, K (1959).—Studies on the Growth of the Lambs of the Polish Long wool Sheep. *Annals Univ. Marie Curie*, Sec. E, 14: 297 320.
- FAHMY, M.H., GHANEM, Y.S. and EL-ISSAWY, H.F. (1964).—A study of some body measurements in a cross between Merino and Barki sheep living under desert conditions; I. Growth rate. Bull. Inst. Desert, and Sapp. 14, (1): 45-64.
- GHONEIM, A., RAAFAT, M.A., ABOU-RAYA, A.K. and EL-SAMMAN, S. (1957).—Mutton production from Egyptian sheep: II. Growth studies from weaning up to one year old. Faculty of Agriculture Cairo University Bulletin 113, 20 pp.
- HARRINGTON, R.B., WHITEMAN, J.V. and MORRISON, R.D. (1958).— Estimates of some sources of variation in the body weights of crossbred lambs at different ages. J. Anim Sci. 17: 743-51.
- HAZEL, L.N. and TERRILL, C.E. (1946).—Effects of some environmental factors on the weanling traits of Range Columbia, Corriedale and Targhee Lambs. J. Anim. Sci. 5: 318-25.

NEVILLE, W.E. Jr., CHAPMAN, A.B. and POPE, A.L. (1958).—Comparison of lambs from Western (Columbia-Rambouillet) ewes and sired by rams of four down breeds. J. Anim. Sci., 17: 763-73.

RAGAB, M.T., ASKER, A.A. and YOUSSEF, A.A. (1953).—Comparative study of body weight and measurements of Ossimi and Rahmani sheep. Faculty Agriculture, Cairo University Bulletin 27, 41 pp.

SNEDECOR, G.W. (1956).—Statistical Methods ". 5th ed. Ames: Iowa State College Press. U.S.A. 534 pp.